# Participatory victimization? Tensions in security technologies directed to citizens

CUPP conference, January 2024 Katarina Winter, Department of Criminology, Stockholm University, katarina.winter@criminology.su.se



#### Security technologies in Sweden: two cases

- Societal shifts involve new focus, actors, responsibilities:
  - Sweden becoming "the best in the world" at digitalisation
  - Welfare state → Security state → Security → Insecurity
  - Police, new actors/responsibilities: New law (2023:196), new private and administrative actors, new users, new market
  - Plural (Loader, 20000), abstract (Tersptra et al, 2019), even
     benevolent (?) policing → prevention
- Prioritizations and knowledge in digital crime prevention (2022-2023)
  - Digital system aiming to respond to the new demands, municipal actors and their work and expectations
- Promises and consequences in security technology (2024-2025)
  - Apps directed to the public (at least initially)



### Approach and where are we now?

STS & Sociology producer/user relations, public participation/coproduction, responsibilisation (Garland, 1995; Wood, Ross, & Johns, 2022) for understanding establishment:

- 1. What apps are available in the field, and how are the purposes, functions, users, and use formulated for different initiatives?
- Hybrid coalitions, e.g. influencers/startups, adm,/academic/private
- Common features of apps for "ordinary citizens":
  - Building communities for prevention and safety
  - Alarm functions: to community, alarm central or private guards
  - Sharing data/joint heat maps with community/whoever uses the app
  - Communication within app
  - Reporting crime, and/or activities of in/securities on a map
- Narrow possibilities for participation



### Approach and where are we now?

- 2. How do producers motivate initiatives?
  Interviews/observations companies, coalitions of actors
  - Citizen app → redirected focus housing companies, municipalities
  - Neighborhood cooperation → redirected focus to schools
  - Third case?
- 3. How do users practice the apps?
  - Publics in particular: elementary school and university staff using technology for PDV (ongoing deadly violence), key concept
  - Public officials: political visions vs procurement of technologies



#### Tentative ideas from mapping and first interviews

4. What opportunities and tensions can be observed in relationships producers/users, e.g. existing/new actors/needs/initiatives

- Public disinterest in tech.  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  producer disinterest in the public
  - Ordinary citizens after all not useful users?
  - At least not profitable users
  - Participation argument dissolves into new arguments on e.g. teachers
  - Initiatives strive for recognition at municipal or police level
  - "Ridicule risk": no matter if apps are "basic" or even "dead", data still exist/is used. For what, by whom, and when?
  - An example →



## Thank you!

katarina.winter@criminology.su.se

